

JNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO.
09/298,726	04/23/99	/ MADDALON		٧	06023-71(M	11/
- 000570	. / OM31/0619	/ QM31/0619	7	EXAMINER		
AKIN, GUMP,	STRAUSS, H	AUÉR & FELD, L.L.P		DEXTER,C		
ONE COMMERC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUM	IBER
2005 MARKET PHILADELPHI	•	ITE 2200		3724		14,
				DATE MAILED	: 06/19/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/298,726

Applicant(s)

....

Maddalon

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address				
A SHOTHE No Extended for the best of the co Failur - Any reasons and the co Failur - Any reasons and the co.	For Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the rened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, sation. To a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (c) that the statute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 4, 20	001	<u> </u>				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, and 8	is/are	e pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, and 8		is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.				
9) 🗆 10) 🗆 11) 🗀	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a)□ approved	b)□ disapproved.				
13) 反 a) 反	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestice.	ve been received. ve been received in Application Notes to the locuments have been received in the local (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). le certified copies not received.	No this National Stage				
Attachm	ent(s)						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Art Unit: 3724

45.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed April 4, 2001 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Roy et al.

Roy et al. discloses a cutting device with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a first pair of rollers (e.g., 56, 62) which are coupled and thus driven together by a first motor; a cutting assembly (e.g., 60) which is driven by a second motor; a third motor

Art Unit: 3724

47 .

(e.g., 84) pivoting one of the cutting assembly and the pair of rollers; a reading system having first (e.g., 58A) and second (e.g., 58B) spaced apart optical sensors; and a microprocessor (e.g., 30) which "recognizes" marks on the work piece.

In the alternative, if it is argued that Roy et al. does not explicitly disclose a first motor and a second motor, the Examiner takes Official notice that such a configuration is old and well known in the art for various known benefits including providing separate control to individual components as desired. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a first and second motor for the well known benefits including that described above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy et al.

Roy et al. lacks the cutting assembly having first and second parallel spaced apart blades. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such a cutter configuration is old and well known in the art and provides various well known benefits including facilitating the making of two parallel cuts simultaneously for various well known benefits including cutting strips with parallel borders. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide first and second parallel spaced apart blades for the well known benefits including that described above.

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Roy et al. lacks a microprocessor which "recognizes" the boundary marks. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In a broad and reasonable interpretation, the term "recognize" means "to acknowledge the existence of". Clearly, the microprocessor of Roy et al. acknowledges the existence of markings on the work piece and thus meets the claimed limitation.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3724

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703)308-2187.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd June 18, 2001